Integrated Stellar Populations



What is a stellar population?

Simple cases: star clusters Single age, single metallicity

Open Clusters

Young, main sequence fully populated

Globular Clusters Old, upper main sequence missing

> Red giant branch and horizontal branch stars present





Stellar Evolution Review: Main-Sequence Lifetime

Stars are burning $H \rightarrow He$ in their core

Fusion rate is higher for more massive stars:





the set the set to a set the set of the set







	TABLE III				
	STELLAR	LIFETIMES	(yr)ª		
i-j)	(1–2)	(2-3)	(3-4)	(4–5)	(5–6)
	1.010 (7) 2.144 (7) 6.547 (7) 2.212 (8) 4.802 (8) 1.553 (9) 2.803 (9) 7 (9)	2.270 (5) 6.053 (5) 2.173 (6) 1.042 (7) 1.647 (7) 8.10 (7) 1.824 (8) 2 (9)	9.113 (4) 1.372 (6) 1.033 (7) 3.696 (7) 3.490 (8) 1.045 (9) 1.20 (9)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.552 & (4) \\ 4.857 & (5) \\ 4.238 & (6) \\ 3.829 & (7) \\ \geq 2 & (8) \\ \geq 4 & (8) \\ \geq 1 & (9) \end{array}$

* Numbers in parentheses beside each entry give the power of ten to which that

TABLE IV

STELLAR LIFETIMES (yr)*

Iben | 1968

)	(6–7)	(7–8)	(8–9)	(9–10)
	7.17 (5)	6.20 (5)	1.9 (5)	3.5 (4)
	4.90 (5)	9.50 (4)	3.28 (6)	1.55 (5)
	6.05 (6)	1.02 (6)	9.00 (6)	9.30 (5)
	2.51 (7)	4.08	3 (7)	6.00 (6)

* Numbers in parentheses beside each entry give the power of ten to which that

Stellar Evolution Review: Stellar Evolution

Theoretical evolutionary tracks

Tick marks on plot show ages in table







	TABLE III				
	STELLAR	LIFETIMES	(yr)ª		
i-j)	(1–2)	(2-3)	(3-4)	(4–5)	(5–6)
	1.010 (7) 2.144 (7) 6.547 (7) 2.212 (8) 4.802 (8) 1.553 (9) 2.803 (9) 7 (9)	2.270 (5) 6.053 (5) 2.173 (6) 1.042 (7) 1.647 (7) 8.10 (7) 1.824 (8) 2 (9)	9.113 (4) 1.372 (6) 1.033 (7) 3.696 (7) 3.490 (8) 1.045 (9) 1.20 (9)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.552 & (4) \\ 4.857 & (5) \\ 4.238 & (6) \\ 3.829 & (7) \\ \geq 2 & (8) \\ \geq 4 & (8) \\ \geq 1 & (9) \end{array}$

* Numbers in parentheses beside each entry give the power of ten to which that

TABLE IV

STELLAR LIFETIMES (yr)*

Iben | 1968

)	(6–7)	(7–8)	(8–9)	(9–10)
	7.17 (5)	6.20 (5)	1.9 (5)	3.5 (4)
	4.90 (5)	9.50 (4)	3.28 (6)	1.55 (5)
	6.05 (6)	1.02 (6)	9.00 (6)	9.30 (5)
	2.51 (7)	4.08	3 (7)	6.00 (6)

* Numbers in parentheses beside each entry give the power of ten to which that

Stellar Evolution Review: Stellar Evolution

9 M⊙ star evolves off of MS in ~20 Myr

Evolves back and forth on the CMD: "blue loop stars"

Dies only a few Myr after it evolves off MS





FIG. 3. Paths in the H-R diagram for metal-rich stars of mass $(M/M_{\odot}) = 15$, 9, 5, 3, 2.25, 1.5, 1.25, 1, 0.5, 0.25. Units of luminosity and surface temperature are the same as in Figure 1. Traversal times between labeled points are given in Tables III and IV. Dashed portions of evolutionary paths are estimates.

	STELLAR LIFETIMES (yr) ^a				
i—j)	(1–2)	(2–3)	(3-4)	(4–5)	(5–6)
	1.010 (7) 2.144 (7) 6.547 (7) 2.212 (8) 4.802 (8) 1.553 (9) 2.803 (9) 7 (9)	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2.270 & (5) \\ 6.053 & (5) \\ 2.173 & (6) \\ 1.042 & (7) \\ 1.647 & (7) \\ 8.10 & (7) \\ 1.824 & (8) \\ & 2 & (9) \end{array}$	9.113 (4) 1.372 (6) 1.033 (7) 3.696 (7) 3.490 (8) 1.045 (9) 1.20 (9)	7.55(4)1.477(5)7.532(5)4.505(6)1.310(7)1.049(8)1.463(8)1.57(8)	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.552 & (4) \\ 4.857 & (5) \\ 4.238 & (6) \\ 3.829 & (7) \\ \geq 2 & (8) \\ \geq 4 & (8) \\ \geq 1 & (9) \end{array}$

* Numbers in parentheses beside each entry give the power of ten to which that

TABLE IV

Stellar Lifetimes (yr)*

Iben | 1968

) (6—7)	(7–8)	(8–9)	(9–10)
7.17 (5) 4.90 (5) 6.05 (6) 2.51 (7)	6.20 (5) 9.50 (4) 1.02 (6) 4 08	1.9 (5) 3.28 (6) 9.00 (6)	3.5 (4) 1.55 (5) 9.30 (5) 6.00 (6)

* Numbers in parentheses beside each entry give the power of ten to which that

Stellar Evolution Review: Stellar Evolution

 $9 M_{\odot}$ star evolves off of MS in ~20 Myr

Evolves back and forth on the CMD: "blue loop stars"

Dies only a few Myr after it evolves off MS







	STELLAR LIFETIMES (yr) ^a				
i-j)	(1–2)	(2-3)	(3-4)	(4–5)	(5–6)
	1.010 (7) 2.144 (7) 6.547 (7) 2.212 (8) 4.802 (8) 1.553 (9) 2.803 (9) 7 (9)	2.270 (5) 6.053 (5) 2.173 (6) 1.042 (7) 1.647 (7) 8.10 (7) 1.824 (8) 2 (9)	9.113 (4) 1.372 (6) 1.033 (7) 3.696 (7) 3.490 (8) 1.045 (9) 1.20 (9)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.552 & (4) \\ 4.857 & (5) \\ 4.238 & (6) \\ 3.829 & (7) \\ \geq 2 & (8) \\ \geq 4 & (8) \\ \geq 1 & (9) \end{array}$

)	(6–7)	(7–8)	(8–9)	(9–10)
	7.17 (5)	6.20 (5)	1.9 (5)	3.5 (4)
	4.90 (5)	9.50 (4)	3.28 (6)	1.55 (5)
	6.05 (6)	1.02 (6)	9.00 (6)	9.30 (5)
	2.51 (7)	4.08	3 (7)	6.00 (6)

Stellar Evolution Review: **Stellar Evolution**

 M_{\odot} star evolves off of MS in ~7 Myr

Evolves up on the CMD: "red giant stars"

Lives as a red giant for another Gyr or so

Evolves to horizontal branch and back up the giant branch: "asymptotic giant"





9, 5, 3, 2.25, 1.5, 1.25, 1, 0.5, 0.25. Units of luminosity and surface temperature are the same as in Figure 1. Traversal times between labeled points are given in Tables III and IV. Dashed portions of evolutionary paths are estimates.

	STELLAR LIFETIMES (yr)*				
i—j)	(1–2)	(2–3)	(3-4)	(4–5)	(5–6)
	1.010 (7) 2.144 (7) 6.547 (7) 2.212 (8) 4.802 (8) 1.553 (9) 2.803 (9)	2.270 (5) 6.053 (5) 2.173 (6) 1.042 (7) 1.647 (7) 8.10 (7) 1.824 (8)	9.113 (4) 1.372 (6) 1.033 (7) 3.696 (7) 3.490 (8) 1.045 (9)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.552 & (4) \\ 4.857 & (5) \\ 4.238 & (6) \\ 3.829 & (7) \\ \geq 2 & (8) \\ \geq 4 & (8) \end{array}$
	7 (9)	2 (9)	1.20 (9)	1.57 (8)	≥1 (9)

STELLAR	LIFETIMES	(yr)*
---------	-----------	-------

j)	(6–7)	(7–8)	(8–9)	(9–10)
	7.17 (5)	6.20 (5)	1.9 (5)	3.5 (4)
	4.90 (5)	9.50 (4)	3.28 (6)	1.55 (5)
	6.05 (6)	1.02 (6)	9.00 (6)	9.30 (5)
	2.51 (7)	4.08	3 (7)	6.00 (6)

Stellar Evolution Review: **Stellar Evolution**

 M_{\odot} star evolves off of MS in ~7 Myr

Evolves up on the CMD: "red giant stars"

Lives as a red giant for another Gyr or so

Evolves to horizontal branch and back up the giant branch: "asymptotic giant"



Globular Cluster M3

In old stellar populations, we see all these phases of evolution

Age affects color: old stars are red and young stars are blue

a set of the at the areas of the second

But that's not the only thing!



The Effects of Metallicity

Line blanketing: Metals absorb strongly in the blue spectrum → metal-rich stars appear redder

a second the second and the second second

····





The Effects of Metallicity

Line blanketing: Metals absorb strongly in the blue spectrum \rightarrow metal-rich stars appear redder

<u>Opacity</u>: More metals \rightarrow greater absorption in stellar atmospheres \rightarrow red giants expand more \rightarrow cooler & redder

the second for the second of t



The Effects of Metallicity

Line blanketing: Metals absorb strongly in the blue spectrum → metal-rich stars appear redder

<u>Opacity</u>: More metals \rightarrow greater absorption in stellar atmospheres \rightarrow red giants expand more \rightarrow cooler & redder

Horizontal Branch morphology: stellar evolution & atmosphere effects combine to make HB stars bluer in metal-poor populations

Gaia Collaboration 2018







Why are we talking about stars?

Galaxies <u>are</u> stars!!!

Two primary ways of studying galaxies:

Lookback studies, observing progenitors at high redshifts when the Universe was young

Studying present-day properties, such as stellar populations, structure, and kinematics

Gallery of Milky Way-like galaxies



11.3 billion years ago



8.9 billion years ago



10.9 billion years ago



6.1 billion years ago





Studying Stellar Populations in Other Galaxies

In the Milky Way, we can see stars down to very low mass. Can construct precise CMDs

a second with the second and a second



Studying Stellar Populations in Other Galaxies In the Milky Way, we can see stars down to very low mass. Can construct precise CMDs In other galaxies, this is difficult. For MW satellites, we can resolve stars down to the MS turnoff



Small Magellanic Cloud ESO/VISTAVMC



Studying Stellar Populations in Other Galaxies For galaxies in the Local Volume (D < 10 Mpc), we can see only down to the brightest MS turnoffs of a few hundred Myr:

> MIOI outer disk stars, D = 6.9 Mpc Mihos+18





Studying Stellar Populations in Other Galaxies And out to the distance of the Virgo Cluster of galaxies (D = 16.5 Mpc), painstaking work only gets us the RGB/AGB



Durrell+07



What about galaxies far away?

For galaxies far away, we only have integrated light: the summed light of all the stars put together!

This depends on:

How stars are formed -What kind of light is output by stars . III. How stars evolve with time

the second state and the process of the second state of the second



and the second states and the second second second



What about galaxies far away?

For galaxies far away, we only have <u>integrated light</u>: the summed light of all the stars put together!

This depends on:

How stars are formed
 What kind of light is output by stars
 How stars evolve with time





What about galaxies far away?

For galaxies far away, we only have integrated light: the summed light of all the stars put together!

This depends on:

How stars are formed . What kind of light is output by stars • III. How stars evolve with time



Observables: Colors

Imaging and photometry is "quick and easy"



Evolution of a single burst population

Top: Integrated light spectrum Bottom left: evolving CMD Bottom right: evolving integrated colors

an a we have

- A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT



Observables: Colors

Imaging and photometry is "quick and easy"



Evolution of different star-formation histories $SFR(t) = Ce^{-t/\tau}$

Small tau: fast burst Large tau: slowly declining SFR

Fast burst: As massive stars quickly die out, they fade rapidly and turn red

Slow decline: Constantly replenishing stars of all types, fade slowly or not at all, don't get as red



Contributions from Different Evolutionary Stages

Integrated light is always dominated by the brightest stars, even though they are not always the most common stars

Colors and spectra of galaxies, measuring the integrated light, are "luminosity-weighted sums"

When we study dynamics, we do "mass-weighted sums"



Figure 2 The relative contributions of the various evolutionary stages to the integrated light of a stellar population as a function of age (Renzini & Buzzoni 1986), for the indicated composition and mass-loss parameter η (cf. Section 4.1.3). The age t is in years.



Mass-to-Light Ratios

If we can understand the stellar pops we are observing, we can use their total luminosity to infer their stellar mass by invoking the mass-to-light ratio, $(M/L)_*$

	Star	Spec.Type
	Sun	G2V
	Polaris	F7Ib
	Betelgeuse	MIIa
	Proxima Centauri	M5.5V
· a -	Sirius B	wd

Mass	Luminosity	(M/L)*	
$I M_{\odot}$	L Co	I Mo/Lo	
5.4 M⊙	I260 L⊙	0.004 M⊙/L⊙	
I7 M⊙	126000 L _O	0.0001 M _☉ /L _☉	
D.I M⊙	0.002 L _☉	50 M⊙/L⊙	
M_{\odot}	0.06 L _☉	17 M _☉ /L _☉	



Mass-to-Light Ratios

To get the ratio for a population of stars, sum up the light to get the luminosity, sum up the mass to get total mass, and divide!

But we usually don't resolve stars, so we have to infer the population by modeling the integrated colors of the galaxy

	Galaxy	Mass	Luminosity	(M/L)*
	Spirals	109 – 1012 M.	108 – 1011 L _O	2 – 10 M _☉ /L _☉
	Ellipticals	0 ⁵ − 0 3 M⊙	106 – 1011 L _o	I0 − 20 M⊙/L⊙
****	Irregulars	108 – 1011 M.	10 ⁷ − 2 × 10 ⁹ L _☉	$I - I0 M_{\odot}/L_{\odot}$

