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## Motivation to study dwarf galaxies

^CDM: Building blocks of galaxies.

Most Dark Matter dominated objects in the Universe (Walker 2013).

Dwarf galaxies trace the fine structure of the large-scale structure (Binggeli 1989).
^CDM problems on Local Group scale:
e.g. missing satellite problem (e.g. Klypin et al. 1999), too-big-too-fail problem (Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2011) dwarf satellite plane problem (e.g. Pawlowski et al. 2012, Cautun \& Frenk 2016).

## Dwarf satellite plane problem

■ vast polar structure (VPOS) - MW; Great Plane of Andromeda (GPOA) Andromeda

- $\wedge$ CDM: isotropical and random motions.

■ $\wedge$ CDM: chance of alignment $<\mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$ (Pawlowski et al. 2015) or $<\mathbf{1 \%}$ (Cautun et al. 2015).


Therefore, the defining characteristics of the satellite plane problem are that:

- the satellites are distributed in a highly flattened, planar structure in three-dimensional space,
- the majority of the satellites co-orbit in the same sense,
- and these satellites orbit within the plane, indicating that the plane is not just a transient alignment.

Left: Pawlowski et al. (2013), right: Pawlowski et al. (2015)

## Is the Local Group unique?

## Local Volume

- The Local Volume ( $\mathrm{D}<10 \mathrm{Mpc}$, Karatchentsev et al. 2013) represents nearby universe.
- $\approx 1000$ objects, mostly dwarf galaxies
- $\approx 10$ groups of galaxies

■ Local Void, devoid of any objects


## Survey M101 group complex (SDSS)



■ Survey M101 group complex (SDSS)

- Subgroups:

M 101 (7.0 Mpc), M 51 ( 8.6 Mpc ), M 63 ( 9.0 Mpc )

- 28 known group members
- $330 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ field, $g$ and $r$ band, visual search


## SDSS automatic detection

The reader may wonder why we did not use the SDSS data reduction pipeline directly for the detection of dwarf-like low surface brightness objects. There is indeed a tool implemented in the data reduction pipeline for the detection of extended sources. However, Kniazev et al. (2004) pointed out that galaxies are shredded by this tool, as different luminosity knots from the same source are detected and defined as separate, individual SDSS objects. Tests have shown (Kniazev et al. 2004) that the SDSS pipeline tool is unsuited for the detection of LSB objects, giving only a low detection rate of test galaxies and too many false detections. Nevertheless, we checked the SDSS database

## Gauss Convolution



## Gauss Convolution



- 15 new dwarf galaxies (red points) (Müller et al. 2017b)

■ Missing satellite problem

- Diagonal alignment


Müller et al. (2017b)

## Mosaic of M101 dwarfs



## dw1255+40

left: SDSS; right: by courtesy of Aaron Watkins and Chris Mihos


## 3D Distribution M 101

- Roughly all galaxies lie in a wall, incl. M 101, M 51, and M 63 .
- Wall stretched over 3 Mpc .
- rms $\approx 70 \mathrm{kpc}$ for whole filament, $r m s \approx 45 \mathrm{kpc}$ for M 101 vicinity. (Typical 1 Mpc in simulations)



## Dark Energy Camera

- Dedicated Cen A survey in 2014,2015
- Blanco 4-m telescope at CTIO
- 520 megapixels ( $3 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ ), 62x 2048×4096 CCDs
- 0.263 " px resolution


- Survey Centaurus A (DECam)
- Subgroups: Cen A ( 4 Mpc ), M 83 ( 5 Mpc )
- 50 known group members
- $500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ field, $g$ and $r$ band
- Cen A: two parallel planes (Tully et al. 2015)

Gray rectangle: PiSCES footprint (Crnojevic et al. 2015, Sand et al. 2014)


Müller et al. (2017a)

- 57 new unresolved dwarf galaxies (red points), doubling current dwarf population
- Lopsided distribution



## VLT FORS2 observations (M 83 subgroup $\approx 5 \mathrm{Mpc}$ )








James Schombert talk: 5 Gyr old dE?


## 3D Distribution Cen A (Müller et al. 2016)

- Planes perpenticular to Cen A disc (like VPOS)
- Tidal dwarf PISCeS-dw3 parallel to planes (like VPOS)
- Planes pointing towards M 83 subgroup (like GPoA)


One plane only


## Cen A dwarf satellite plane problem?

Plane problem:

- planar distrubtion ■ coherent movement?

■ co-orbiting within plane?

Plane is seen edge-on

## Coherent movement



## Coherent movement (paper in preparation)




- Statistical significant correlation ( $3 \sigma$ signal)
- PNe have different orbital time but follow same trend

■ Millenium-II comparison: 2 out of 2220 Cen A-like systems

## Cen A dwarf satellite plane problem?

Plane problem:
■ planar distrubtion
■ coherent movement
■ co-orbiting within plane?

## Co-orbiting within plane

- Movement of satellites aligned with planes
- Movement of PNe (almost) aligned with planes


circles: plane 1; triangles: plane 2; color: red or blueshifted in respect to Cen A ; dots: PNe


## Cen A dwarf satellite plane problem?

Plane problem:

- planar distrubtion ■ coherent movement
- co-orbiting within plane


## Towards new cosmology?

# Remember: 1\% chance in $\Lambda$ CDM. 

 Three out of four studied systems show coherent movement.Panel discussion: How do we accomodate this in $\Lambda$ CDM.

The Local Group is not unique!

## Planes of dwarf galaxies

M 101 filament has no comparable filament in the LV.

| Name | $r m s[\mathrm{kpc}]$ | radius $[\mathrm{kpc}]$ | members | Ref. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MW, VPOS | $\sim 20$ | $\sim 250$ | 25 | $(1)$ |
| M 31, GPoA | $\sim 15$ | $\sim 270$ | 19 | $(1)$ |
| LG plane 1 | $\sim 60$ | $\sim 1000$ | 9 | $(1)$ |
| LG plane 2 | $\sim 70$ | $\sim 500$ | 5 | $(1)$ |
| M 81 group | $?$ | $\sim 300$ | $\sim 20$ | $(2)$ |
| Cen A plane 1 | $\sim 70$ | $\sim 500$ | 14 | $(3)$ |
| M101 complex | $(\sim 50) \sim 70$ | $\sim 1500$ | $(8) 12$ | $(4)$ |

Main difference: Luminosity range of dwarf galaxies.
(1) Pawlowski et al. (2013), (2) Chiboucas et al. (2013) (3) Müller et al. (2016), (4) Müller et al. (2017b)

## Twitter et al. (yesterday)

Noam Libeskind @satellitegalaxy -6h
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## Correlated velocity pairs (Ibata et al. 2014)

Corotating satellites are common in in nearby universe.



## Summary

Surveys of the Centaurus Group and M 101 complex; detected around 57 and 15 candidates, respectively.

Missing-satellite problem in M 101 complex.

Astonishingly thin filament in M 101 complex.

Studied 3D distribution of Cen A satellites and found strong resemblence to LG.

Found evidence for co-rotating satellites (14 out of 16 satellites).
Need accurate ( $<10 \%$ ) distances for further investigations of 3D structure. Proposals submitted.
Need velocities for further investigations of rotations.

The End


## Dwarf galaxies as tidal renmants (Pawlowski et al. 2012)

(Already in Joerg Dabringhausen's talk.)
■ Dwarf galaxies as TDGs (Zwicky 1956, Kroupa et al. 2010)

- TDGs form along plane of tidal interaction and inherit momentum

■ Counter rotating TDGs well understood
■ Dark Matter free, but show DM behavior (MOND?)


## Comparison with LG Dwarfs

Sérsic fit: $\mu(r)=\mu_{0}+1.0857 \cdot\left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{n}$, Distance $\approx 4.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$



Centaurus Group - squares: Müller et al. (2015)
Local Group - gray dots: McConnachie (2012)

## Background Test



## Eigenframe (Tully et al. 2015, Müller et al. 2016)

- Edge-on view onto the planes

■ Left: Known galaxies with distances, Right: LoS of candidates

- (Almost) all LoS intercept with plane



