DARK MATTER

ASTR 333/433

TODAY

WIMP DETECTION

Homework 4 on-line
Due 4/23 (last class)



Feynman diagram



Illustrates the interaction of particles by the exchange of force carriers - in this case, electrons scatter by photon exchange

(electrostatic repulsion: two negatively charged particles repel each other)

WIMP DETECTION

Correct relic density → *Lower* bound on DM-SM interaction

WIMPs decay into standard model particles (gamma rays, cosmic rays)

Efficient annihilation now (Indirect detection)



Efficient scattering now (Direct detection)

WIMPs scatter off nuclei in underground laboratory experiments

Efficient production now (Particle colliders)

WIMPs created in particle colliders (like the LHC)

Efficient annihilation now
(Indirect detection)



Efficient production now
(Particle colliders)

Efficient scattering now
(Direct detection)

Experimental results to date (early 2020): nada

Particle production

DM created in the LHC would escape like a neutrino; would be noticed by non-conservation of mass-energy

the LHC has discovered the Higgs

- a necessary ingredient for SUSY
- too "normal" for MSSM (minimal SUSY)

the LHC has NOT observed excess Bs meson decay

- the Golden Test for SUSY
- looking grim for MSSM, SUSY in general



Experimental results to date (early 2020): nada

Indirect detection

predicted gamma ray sky



Indirect detection:



Experimental results to date (early 2020): nada

gamma ray flux from WIMP self-annihilation scales as the square of the dark matter density.



simulated gamma ray sky

Working out the expected gamma ray flux

Strigari (2018) Reviews of Modern Physics, 81, e6901

averaged annihilation cross-section

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = \int d^3 v P(v) \sigma(v)$$

σ here is the interaction cross-section (not velocity dispersion)

σ often assumed to be velocity independent, but doesn't have to be.

Probability of a dark matter particle having velocity v

distribution function

$$P(v) = \frac{f_{DM}(x, v)}{\rho_{DM}(x)}$$

dark matter density

photon flux — photon spectrum

$$\frac{dF}{dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi m^2} \frac{dN}{dE} \int d\Omega \int d\ell \langle \sigma v \rangle [\rho_{DM}(r(\ell, \Omega))]^2$$

DM particle mass — solid angle — line-of-sight integral — dark matter density squared as projected on the sky

"J factor"

$$J = \int d\Omega \int d\ell [\rho_{DM}(r(\ell, \Omega))]^2$$

If the interaction cross-section is not velocity-dependent, then the flux depends only on the DM density profile.

Low mass WIMPs excluded for various decay channels



INDIRECT DETECTION

Cosmic Rays





Solid lines are the predicted spectra from GALPROP (Moskalenko, Strong)

One must exclude astrophysical sources before claiming a detection of dark matter.

ARE THESE DARK MATTER?

- Pulsars can explain PAMELA

Zhang, Cheng (2001); Hooper, Blasi, Serpico (2008)
Yuksel, Kistler, Stanev (2008)
Profumo (2008) ; Fermi (2009)



- For dark matter, there is both good and bad news
- Good: the WIMP miracle motivates excesses at ~100 GeV – TeV
- Bad: the WIMP miracle also tells us that the annihilation cross section should be a factor of 100-1000 too small to explain these excesses. Need enhancement from
  - astrophysics (very unlikely)
  - particle physics

Experimental results to date (early 2020): nada

Direct detection

Many, *many* experiments

CDMS, LUX, XENON, DAMA, etc., etc.

Basic idea: WIMP passing through detector interacts via weak force; scatters off nucleus. Detect deposited energy of recoil. (analogous to neutrino detection).



XENON type detectors

Direct detection:

Must protect experiments from cosmic rays, natural radioactivity, self-radioactivity, etc., etc.

Bury them deep in mines.



WIMPs are hiding



Mass of WIMP

WIMP detection experiments

cross section (10^{-39} then 10^{-44} natural)



WIMP mass (~100 GeV natural)

Experimental results to date (early 2020): nada

LHC: the LHC sees no indication of dark matter
or even supersymmetry

Direct Detection: Nothing so far
(DAMA claims a detection that no one can reproduce)

Indirect Detection: Various claims
gamma ray excess near Galactic Center
cosmic ray excess
unidentified X-ray lines

As yet: nothing credible.

WIMPs, *as originally expected*,
have been thoroughly falsified

- where are the WIMPs?

Many recent hypotheses for dark matter attempt to dodge experimental constraints by moving the goal posts, either in cross-section or mass

Light DM
(violate Lee-Weinberg bound: m > 2 GeV)

TeV DM
(WIMPzillas)
(because why not? Unitarity bound not till 100 TeV)

Low cross-section
(weak scale, strongly suppressed)

How do we decide when we've looked hard enough?


MSSM
R-parity violating
NMSSM
Supersymmetry
WIMPless DM
Hidden Sector DM
Self-Interacting DM
mSUGRA
pMSSM
Gravitino DM
Q-balls
Techni-baryons
Dark Photon
R-parity Conserving
Dirac DM
Asymmetric DM
Light Force Carriers
Extra Dimensions
Dynamical DM
Warm DM
Solitonic DM
UED DM
Quark Nuggets
6d
5d
Warped Extra Dimensions
Sterile Neutrinos
RS DM
T-odd DM
Axion DM
?
Little Higgs
QCD Axions
Axion-like Particles
Littlest Higgs
One can always make up other stuff
T Tait