Cosmology and Large Scale Structure

21 November 2024 http://astroweb.case.edu/ssm/ASTR328/

Today More CMB Cosmic Dawn & Reionization

Homework 5 due next time

Cosmological Parameters

The search for two parameters has become six, maybe seven

two parameters six parameters

- Hubble expansion rate
	- H_0
- Mass density
	- $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_m$

Or simply H_0 , q_0 but in the absence of dark energy, $q_0 =$ 1 2 $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_m$

- Hubble expansion rate
	- H_0
- Power spectrum index and normalization
	- $n [P(k) \propto k^n]$
	- σ_8 (amplitude of mass fluctuations in 8 Mpc spheres) σ_8
- Mass-energy density
	- Normal matter (baryon) density Ω_b
	- Dark matter density $\Omega_{\rm CDM}$
	- Dark energy density (cosmological constant) Ω_Λ
	- Neutrino mass density Ω_{ν} (they're mass as well as energy) $\, \Omega_{\nu} \,$

Detailed shape of the acoustic power spectrum depends sensitively on cosmic parameters.

https://wiki.cosmos.esa.int/planck-legacy-archive/images/b/be/Baseline_params_table_2018_68pc.pdf

its .78 7.9 .56 16 $.44$ 18 1.35 $20\,$ 1.3 16 0.012 0.075 .0095 .0060 .0082 .0055 .0072 .0051 $.0025$ $.0027$ $_{0}$ Ω . 1.9 1.7 .3 5.5 .7 - 5.5

Best-fit cosmology obtained from multi-parameter fit. Well constrained, but not unique - lots of parameter degeneracy.

Baseline model $\bf{2}$

$\ensuremath{\mathit{base}\text{-}\mathit{plikHM}\text{-}\mathit{TT}\text{-}\mathit{lowL}$ 2.1

Best-fit $\chi^2_{\text{eff}} = 1179.58$; $\bar{\chi}^2_{\text{eff}} = 1199.58$; $R - 1 = 0.00927$

 χ^2_{eff} : CMB - simall_100x143_offlike5_EE_Aplanck_B: 395.88 commander_dx12_v3_2_29: 23.60 plik_rd12_HM_v22_TT: 758.75

CMB power spectrum

Hubble constant tension

$$
\Omega_M h^3 = 0.0959
$$

covariance between parameters

85

 H_0 Expansion rate

The CMB best fits have marched away from the original concordance region

Cosmology today: tension in H_0 …and Ω_m

McGaugh (2024) *Universe*, **10**, [48](https://www.mdpi.com/2218-1997/10/1/48)

McGaugh (2024) *Universe*, **10**, [48](https://www.mdpi.com/2218-1997/10/1/48)

LCDM did not correctly predicted the amplitude of the second peak, which was correctly predicted by the case of no CDM \rightarrow

The amplitude of the second peak was the driver of the increase in the baryon density over the expectation of BBN

LCDM correctly predicted the location of the first peak, which is consistent with the expected flat geometry ($|\Omega_k|$ < 0.005)

 M ultinole ℓ

This is usually interpreted to require the existence of nonbaryonic cold dark matter, which Planck requires at over 50 *σ*: $\Omega_{CDM}h^2 = 0.1206 \pm 0.0021$

3rd peak strong evidence for physics beyond baryonic drag.

However, the interpretation remains ambiguous - could also be a modification of gravity (e.g., AeST gives an identical power spectrum.) (Skordis & Zlosnik 2021, PRL, 127, 161302)

Does it *have* **to be CDM?**

"Cosmologists are often wrong, but never in doubt"

- Lev Landau

Cosmological parameters by decade

Next frontier: 21 cm absorption at high redshift

time

Radio wavelength photons traveling to us from the epoch of recombination can be absorbed by neutral gas during the dark ages and at cosmic dawn

redshift

Three Temperatures: *T* radiation temperature (the energy of the relic radiation field that is now the CMB) T_{kin} kinetic temperature (gas kinetic motion - what we normally think of as temperature)

 T_S spin temperature (21 cm line - statistical distribution of levels in atomic hydrogen)

$$
T_S^{-1} = \frac{T_{\gamma}^{-1} + x_i T_{kin}^{-1}}{1 + x_i}
$$

 \mathcal{x}_i Dark ages: atomic collisions $\{$ Cosmic dawn: Lyman α photons 1/2 $\left(1 - \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\text{S}}} \right)$ *xi* couples the spin temperature to the kinetic gas temperature absorption when *TS* < *T^γ* $\omega_b = \Omega_b h^2$ $f_b =$ Ω_b Ω_m $T_0 = 20$ mK

$$
T_{21}(z) = T_0 \frac{\mathbf{x}_{\rm HI}}{\mathfrak{h}_z} \left[(1+z) f_b \left(\frac{\omega_b}{0.02} \right) \right]^1
$$

TS)

 $H^2(z) = H_0^2[\Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_r(1+z)^4 - \Omega_k(1+z)^2]$ $\tilde{H}(z) = H_0 \Omega_m^{1/2} (1 + z)$ $\tilde{H}(z)$ $\tilde{H}(z) = H_0 \Omega_m^{1/2} (1+z)^{3/2}$ \longleftrightarrow (This is an approximation)

> Expansion history specifies path-length photons must traverse. This usual approximation $H(z)$ may not suffice.

$$
\mathfrak{h}_z = \frac{H(z)}{\tilde{H}(z)} \qquad H^2
$$

Spin temperature bracketed by the radiation temperature and the kinetic gas temperature:

Prediction for 21 cm absorption at high redshift

21 cm brightness temperature:

Rep. Prog. Phys. 75 (2012) 086901

Copyright 2010 Nature Publishing Group.

J R Pritchard and A Loeb

Figure 1. The 21 cm cosmic hydrogen signal. (a) Time evolution of fluctuations in the 21 cm brightness from just before the first stars formed through to the end of the reionization epoch. This evolution is pieced together from redshift slices through a simulated cosmic volume [1]. Coloration indicates the strength of the 21 cm brightness as it evolves through two absorption phases (purple and blue), separated by a period (black) where the excitation temperature of the 21 cm hydrogen transition decouples from the temperature of the hydrogen gas, before it transitions to emission (red) and finally disappears (black) owing to the ionization of the hydrogen gas. (b) Expected evolution of the sky-averaged 21 cm brightness from the 'Dark Ages' at redshift 200 to the end of reionization, sometime before redshift 6 (solid curve indicates the signal; dashed curve indicates $T_b = 0$). The frequency structure within this redshift range is driven by several physical processes, including the formation of the first galaxies and the heating and ionization of the hydrogen gas. There is considerable uncertainty in the exact form of this signal, arising from the unknown properties of the first galaxies. Reproduced with permission from [2].